

BLOCK METHODS FOR NONLINEAR
VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

BY

LAWRENCE E. GAREY

TR75-005, JULY 1975

BLOCK METHODS FOR NONLINEAR
VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

BY

LAWRENCE E. GAREY

School of Computer Science
University of New Brunswick
Fredericton, N.B.
and
Department of Mathematics
University of New Brunswick
Saint John, N.B.

TR75-005, July 1975

BLOCK METHODS FOR NONLINEAR
VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

L. GAREY*

Abstract.

An adaptation of a method by Boland [3] leading to a generalization of the block methods of Linz [8] are presented in this paper. Conditions for such methods to be convergent and A-stable are given along with some numerical examples.

1. Introduction.

Consider the equation

$$(1) \quad y(x) = g(x) + \int_0^x K(x,t,y(t))dt \quad 0 \leq x \leq a$$

Assume that $g(x) \in C[0,a]$, that $K(x,t,u)$ satisfies a uniform Lipschitz condition with respect to u and that $K(x,t,u)$ is uniformly continuous in x and t for all $(x,t,u) \in R$ where

$$R = \{(x,t,u): 0 \leq t \leq x \leq a, |u| < \infty\}$$

It is shown in [10] that under these conditions (1) has a unique solution $y(x) \in C[0,a]$.

Volterra integral equations have appeared in the literature in connection with such things as developing mathematical models for studying the spread of infectious diseases [9].

Block methods of solution were first suggested by Young [11] and more recently Linz [8] extended the idea. The advantages of the methods, as Linz indicated, are that no starting values are required and changing the step size is possible after each block of values

*Supported by NRC of Canada under Grant A8196

is determined. Although we shall deal with nonsingular problems, integral equations with singularities have been solved using step by step and block methods in [5,6,7].

To recall the form of block methods, let $I(h)$ denote a partition of $[0,a]$ where

$$I(h) = \{x_j : x_j = jh, j = 0,1,\dots, N, h>0, Nh = a\}$$

Let

$$(2) \quad y_n = g(x_n) + h \sum_{i=0}^{mp} W_{ni} K(x_n, x_i, y_i) + h \sum_{i=mp+1}^{(m+1)p} \tilde{W}_{ki} K(x_n, x_i, y_i)$$

$$n = mp+k, K = 1,2,\dots,p$$

be a system of p equations where y_i denotes an approximation to $y(x_i)$, p is an integer and m is the integer part of n/p . The weights W_{ni} and \tilde{W}_{ki} depend on the quadrature rules used.

In this paper we shall present a generalization of methods of the form (2) and establish conditions for convergence. In addition, we shall provide conditions for which such methods are A-stable.

2. Quadrature Coefficients.

Let $f = f(x,y(x))$ be a function defined for all $x \in [0,a]$. For integers p and q , let

$$J = \{ u_i : 0 \leq u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_q \leq x_p \}$$

Then, for each $k, k=1,2,\dots,p$, define a polynomial approximation $g_k(x,y)$ to $f(x,y)$ by

$$g_k(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q \mathcal{L}_i(x) L_j(x) f(x_j, y_i)$$

where

$$L_i(x) = Q(x) / (x-x_i) Q'(x_i) \quad , \quad Q(x) = \prod_{i=0}^p (x-x_i)$$

and

$$L_j(x) = P(x) / (x-u_j) P'(u_j) \quad , \quad P(x) = \prod_{j=0}^q (x-u_j)$$

In particular, weights $\{W_{kij}^{\wedge}\}$ can now be defined by

$$W_{kij}^{\wedge} = (1/h) \int_0^{x_k} L_i(x) L_j(x) dx$$

Using these weights, a generalization of (2) is given by

$$(3) \quad y_n = g(x_n) + h \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W_{nij} K(x_n, x_{p\ell, j}, y_{i+p\ell}) \\ + h \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W_{kij}^{\wedge} K(x_n, x_{mp, j}, y_{i+mp})$$

$$n = mp+k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

The weights $\{W_{nij}\}$ are those of the quadrature formula defined by the weights $\{W_{pij}^{\wedge}\}$ used compositely and $x_{p\ell, j} = x_{p\ell} + u_j$

The above method is an adaptation from those used in solving linear Fredholm equations [3] and in addition to the previously mentioned advantages of block methods, it permits greater freedom in representing the kernel at a number of points.

3. Theoretical Results.

Definition: A quadrature formula is said to be convergent if for any continuous function $f(x, y(x))$ defined for all $X \in [0, a]$,

$$\left| \int_0^{x_s} f(x, y(x)) dx - h \sum_{\ell=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W_{nij} f(x_{p\ell, j}, y(x_{i+p\ell})) \right| \rightarrow 0$$

as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $h \rightarrow 0$ such that $x_s \in I(h)$ and m is the integer part of s/p .

With this definition, we can use the definitions of order and convergence that are generally applied ([4], [8]). In addition, we shall need the following lemmas to establish that methods of the form (3) are convergent and have a specified order.

Lemma 1. Let $\{V_i\}$ be a sequence of numbers satisfying

$$|V_n| \leq A \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |V_i| + B \quad A, B > 0$$

then $|V_n| \leq B(1+A)^n \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N$

In particular, if $A=hM$, $M > 0$ and $x = nh$, then

$$|V_n| \leq B e^{Mx} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N$$

Proof: see [8]

Lemma 2. Let $f(x, y)$ be a function which is continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$ $q+1$ times with respect to x and $p+1$ times with respect to y . Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_q and t_0, t_1, \dots, t_p be partitions of $[a, b]$. Let $\ell_i(x)$ and $L_j(x)$ be Lagrange polynomials and $y_i = y(t_i)$.

Then the function

$$g(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q \ell_i(x) L_j(x) f(x_j, y_i)$$

is an approximating function for $f(x, y)$ with an error term given by

$$E(f) = \frac{W_q(x)}{(q+1)!} \frac{\partial^{q+1} f(\xi, y)}{\partial x^{q+1}} + \frac{V_p(x)}{(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{p+1} f(x, \eta)}{\partial y^{p+1}} - \frac{W_q(x)V_p(x)}{(q+1)!(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{q+p+2} f(\xi', \eta')}{\partial x^{q+1} \partial y^{p+1}}$$

where $\eta = y(\xi_1)$, $\eta' = y(\xi_2)$, $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi' \in (a, b)$, $W_q(x) = \prod_{j=0}^q (x-x_j)$

and $V_p(x) = \prod_{i=0}^p (x-t_i)$

Proof: The proof is analogous to that for finding the error in an interpolating polynomial for a function of one variable. In particular, the integrated form of the error for the special case $f(x, y(x)) = g(x) y(x)$ is given in [2].

If the partitions in lemma 2 are chosen to be equally spaced with $x_j = a + jh_1$, and $t_i = a + ih_2$, then the error term can be rewritten as

$$(4) \quad E(f) = \frac{h_1^{q+1}}{(q+1)!} \frac{\partial^{q+1} f(\xi, y)}{\partial x^{q+1}} \prod_{j=0}^q (u_1 - j) + \frac{h_2^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{p+1} f(x, \eta)}{\partial y^{p+1}} \prod_{i=0}^p (u_2 - i) - \frac{h_1^{q+1} h_2^{p+1}}{(q+1)!(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{p+q+2} f(\xi', \eta')}{\partial x^{q+1} \partial y^{p+1}} \prod_{j=0}^q (u_1 - j) \prod_{i=0}^p (u_2 - i)$$

where $u_1 h_1 = x - x_0$ and $u_2 h_2 = x - t_0$

Returning to equation (3), we first obtain a criteria for convergence in the case of iterating to obtain approximations for implicit systems. Let the iterates for y_n be denoted by $y_n^{(v)}$, $v=0, 1, \dots$ where $y_n^{(0)}$ is an initial predicted value. Subtracting

$$y_n^{(v)} = g(x_n) + h \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W_{nij} K(x_n, x_{p\ell, j}, y_{i+p\ell})$$

$$+ h \sum_{j=0}^q W_{kij} K(x_n, x_{mp, j}, y_{mp}) + h \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W'_{kij} K(x_n, x_{mp, j}, y_{i+mp}) \quad (v-1)$$

from equation (3), it follows using standard arguments that $y_n^{(v)} \rightarrow y_n$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ provided $hAL < 1$ where L is the Lipschitz constant satisfied by $K(x, t, u)$ with respect to u and

$$A = p \text{MAX}_{1 \leq i, k \leq p} \sum_{j=0}^q |W'_{kij}|$$

Conditions for methods of the form (3) to be convergent are given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume

$$(a) \quad |K(x, t, u) - K(x, t, u^*)| \leq L |u - u^*|$$

for all (x, t, u) and $(x, t, u^*) \in R$

$$(b) \quad W = p \text{Max} \left\{ 2 \sum_{j=0}^q |W_{nij}|, \sum_{j=0}^q |W'_{kij}| \right\}$$

$$(c) \quad R_n(h) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } n = mp+k, K = 1, 2, \dots, p \text{ where}$$

$$y(x_n) = g(x_n) + h \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W_{nij} K(x_n, x_{p\ell, j}, y(x_{i+p\ell}))$$

$$+ h \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j=0}^q W'_{kij} K(x_n, x_{mp, j}, y(x_{i+mp})) + R_n(h)$$

Then block methods of the form (3) are convergent

Proof: The proof is analogous to that given in [8] for methods of the form (2). Therefore only a brief outline is given.

Let $x=x_n$ in equation (1). Subtract equation (1) from equation (3), subtract the equation in (c) from equation (1) and take the absolute value of the sum. The resulting system can be written

$$||e_m|| \leq hWL \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} ||e_i|| + hWL ||e_m|| + R_m^*(h)$$

where

$$||e_r|| = \text{MAX}_{1 \leq k \leq p} \{ |y_{rp+k} - y(x_{rp+k})| \} \text{ and } R_r^*(h) = \text{MAX}_{1 \leq k \leq p} \{ |R_{rp+k}(h)| \}$$

Solving for $||e_m||$ and using lemma 1, it follows that the methods are convergent.

Working with the integrated form of equation (4), a sharper error bound can be obtained. Suppose, for example, $u_i = x_i$, $i=0,1,2,\dots,p$. Then equation (4) simplifies as $h_1 = h_2 = h$. In addition assume that the quadrature formula is closed with p even. For this case, we can add the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Assume $K(x,t,u)$ has continuous partial derivatives of order $p+2$ with respect to t and u . Then

$$R_n(h) = \left\{ \frac{1}{(p+2)!} \frac{\partial^{p+2} K(x, \xi_1, \eta)}{\partial t^{p+2}} + \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{p+2} K(x, \xi_2, \eta)}{\partial t^{p+1} \partial u} + \frac{m}{(p+2)!} \frac{\partial^{p+2} K(x, \xi, \eta_1)}{\partial u^{p+2}} + \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \frac{\partial^{p+2} K(x, \xi, \eta_2)}{\partial t \partial u^{p+1}} \right\} h^{p+3} \int_0^p t \Pi_p(t) dt$$

$$+ \frac{m}{[(p+1)!]^2} \frac{\partial^{2p+2} K(x, \xi_3, \eta_3)}{\partial t^{p+1} \partial u^{p+1}} h^{p+3} \int_0^p \Pi_p(t)^2 dt$$

where $\Pi_p(t) = t(t-1)\dots(t-p)$ and m is the number of times the formula is used compositely.

For the case, p odd, a similar result can be stated (see, [2]). Using these, a sharper bound for $R_m^*(h)$ in the theorem can be obtained depending on the quadrature formulae used.

4 A-Stability.

Definition. An approximating method is said to be A-stable if when applied to the problem

$$(5) \quad y(x) = 1 + \lambda \int_0^x y(t) dt \quad \lambda < 0$$

with arbitrary step h, then

$$\lim_{\substack{i \rightarrow \infty \\ h \text{ fixed}}} y_i = 0$$

Solving (5) by a method of the form (3), we get

$$(6) \quad y_n = 1 + \lambda h \sum_{i=0}^{mp} w_{ni} y_i + \lambda h \sum_{i=mp+1}^{(m+1)p} w_{ki} y_i$$

$$n = mp+k, \quad k=1,2,\dots,p$$

Subtracting each equation in (6) from

$$y_{mp} = 1 + \lambda h \sum_{i=0}^{mp-1} w_{ni} y_i + h \lambda d_0 y_{mp} \quad (d_0, \text{ constant})$$

and expressing the resulting system in matrix form yields

$$(7) \quad (I_p - \lambda h B) Y_m = (E + \lambda h D) y_{mp}$$

Here, I_p is the $p \times p$ identity matrix, $Y_m = (y_{mp+1}, \dots, y_{mp+p})^T$, $E = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$, $D = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)^T$, $(d_i, \text{ constant})$ and B is the matrix $\{w_{ki}\}$.

Without loss of generality, set $h=1$. Let $A^{(0)}(\lambda) = I_p - \lambda B$ and define $A^{(i)}(\lambda)$ $i=1,2,\dots,p$ to be the matrix obtained by replacing the i^{th} column of $A^{(0)}(\lambda)$ by the vector $E + \lambda D$. Define the

polynomials $P_k(\lambda)$ of degree $\leq p$ by

$$P_k(\lambda) = \det (A^{(k)}(\lambda)) \quad K=0,1,\dots,p$$

We note that $P_0(0) = P_p(0) = 1$. The following result was established in [12] and applies as well to block methods of the form (3).

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda < 0$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a block method of the form (3) to be A-stable is that

$$| P_p(\lambda) / P_0(\lambda) | < 1.$$

The problem then is to construct such polynomials. Recalling equation (7), the elements of B are the weights $\{W_{ki}^{\wedge}\}$ where

$$W_{ki}^{\wedge} = \sum_{j=0}^q W_{kij}^{\wedge} = (1/h) \int_0^{x_k} \ell_i(x) \sum_{j=0}^q L_j(x) dx = (1/h) \int_0^{x_k} \ell_i(x) dx$$

Thus the same matrix B results regardless of the choice of the interpolating polynomial $L_j(x)$. Therefore, it is the polynomials $\ell_i(x)$ which determine the A-stability of the method. A discussion regarding the construction of such polynomials is given in [12].

5. Numerical Examples.

To define a particular fourth order method, let $p=q=2$. Then

$$\{W_{1ij}^{\wedge}\} = \frac{1}{120} \begin{bmatrix} 31 & 23 & -4 \\ 23 & 64 & -7 \\ -4 & -7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\{W_{2ij}^{\wedge}\} = \frac{1}{15} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 16 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $W_{kij} = (1/h) \int_0^{x_k} l_i(x) l_j(x) dx$ $k=1,2$
 and $l_i(x)$ is the Lagrange polynomial interpolating at the
 nodes x_0, x_1 and x_2 .

An example of an A-stable method results if we set

$$\{W_{1ij}\} = \frac{1}{120} \begin{bmatrix} 32 & 16 & -8 \\ 21 & 78 & 1 \\ -3 & -14 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\{W_{2ij}\}$ as in the first method. The weights $\{W_{1ij}\}$ were
 obtained using the methods in [1] extended to the case of two
 dimensions.

We shall refer to these methods respectively as Method 1 and
 Method 2. The following examples were solved using thses two
 methods.

Example 1. $y(x) = 3 + 2x - \int_0^x (2(x-t) + 3) y(t) dt$

Exact solution $y(x) = 4\exp(-2x) - \exp(-x)$

Example 2. $y(x) = \exp(x^2) - x + x\exp(x^2/2) - \int_0^x xt \sqrt{y(t)} dt$

Exact solution $y(x) = \exp(x^2)$

Example 2 requires that we iterate to obtain solutions. The
 iteration process is stopped as soon as $\|e_m\| \leq 10^{-8}$. Table 1
 is a table of absolute errors for the various step sizes.

We note that example 1 appeared in [8]. The numerical results
 for method 1 are similar to those given there even though the
 corresponding modification has not been extended to the present
 methods. This can be done in a straight forward manner and so
 the details have not been repeated here.

TABLE 1

x	h=.05		h=.10		h=.20	
	Example 1					
	Method 1	Method 2	Method 1	Method 2	Method 1	Method 2
1.	3.9×10^{-6}	8.4×10^{-5}	6.2×10^{-5}	6.2×10^{-4}	1.9×10^{-4}	1.8×10^{-3}
2.	7.1×10^{-7}	4.9×10^{-6}	1.1×10^{-5}	3.3×10^{-5}	1.9×10^{-4}	1.9×10^{-4}
3.	3.4×10^{-10}	6.4×10^{-6}	2.1×10^{-8}	4.9×10^{-5}	5.4×10^{-5}	3.5×10^{-4}
4.	5.6×10^{-8}	3.9×10^{-6}	9.0×10^{-7}	3.0×10^{-5}	1.5×10^{-5}	2.2×10^{-4}
	Example 2					
1.	5.0×10^{-7}	1.9×10^{-6}	7.9×10^{-6}	1.9×10^{-5}	3.0×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-3}
2.	2.6×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-4}	4.1×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-3}	6.2×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-2}
3.	1.4×10^{-3}	2.8×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-2}	1.6	7.3
4.	1.5×10^{-1}	1.6×10^{-1}	2.3	2.4	3.3×10^1	3.5×10^1

REFERENCES.

1. O. Axelsson, A note on a class of strongly A-stable methods, BIT 12 (1972), 1-4.
2. W. Robert Boland and C.S. Duris, Product type quadrature formulas, BIT 11 (1971), 139-158.
3. W. Robert Boland, The numerical solution of Fredholm integral equations using product type quadrature formulas, BIT 12 (1972), 5-16.
4. K. Bona and L. Garey, Methods for solving second kind Volterra integral equations using generalized quadrature formulae, Proc. Third Manitoba Conference on Numerical Math, 1973, 183-193.

5. Lawrence Garey, Numerical methods for second kind Volterra equations with singular kernels, Proc. Fourth Manitoba Conference on Numerical Math, 1974, 253-263.
6. L. Garey, The numerical solution of Volterra integral equations with singular kernels, BIT 14(1974), 33-39.
7. P. Linz, Numerical methods for Volterra integral equations with singular kernels, Siam J. Numer. Anal. 6 (1969), 365-374.
8. P. Linz, A method for solving nonlinear Volterra integral equations of the second kind, Math. Comp. 23 (1969), 595-600.
9. Wayne P. London and James A. Yorke, Recurrent outbreaks of measles, chickenpox and mumps, Amer. J. of Epidemiology, 98(1973), 453-468.
10. F. Tricomi, Integral Equations, Interscience, New York, 1957.
11. A. Young, The application of approximate product integration to the numerical solution of integral equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. London (A) 224 (1954), 561-573.
12. H.A. Watts, and L.F. Shampine, A-stable block implicit one-step methods, BIT 12 (1972), 252-266.