### Isolation and identification of the bioactive natural product A-26771B from an endophytic

### Penicillium expansum isolate

by

Kirstyn A. Forgrave

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

### **Bachelor of Science with Honours in Biology-Psychology**

Supervisor: Dr. Christopher A. Gray, Department of Biological Sciences and Department of Chemistry

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, PO Box 1866, Mountain View, CA 94042, USA.

# THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW BRUNSWICK

# SAINT JOHN

# April 2020

# ©Kirstyn A. Forgrave (2020)



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

### ABSTRACT

Natural products are an important source of bioactive compounds and endophytic fungi derived from marine algae represent an under-investigated source of natural products. The objective of this research was to isolate and confirm that an extract from an endophytic *Penicillium expansum* contained the natural product A-26771B. The natural product was tentatively detected, using high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy data, in a methanolic extract from the endophyte. Despite repeated rounds of high performance liquid chromatography and multiple fermentations of the endophyte, the compound was isolated in submilligram quantities and identified using proton nuclear magnetic resonance data. However, prior to obtaining carbon nuclear magnetic resonance data that would confirm the identification of A-26771B the natural product degraded. Future work should include isolating more of the molecule A-26771B to determine the bioactivity of the molecule against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium smegmatis*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. Christopher A. Gray and Dr. John A. Johnson for their guidance, support, and feedback throughout my project. I would also like to thank the past and current members of the Natural Product Research Group at the University of New Brunswick. Furthermore, I would like to thank Dr. Hilary Jenkins at the NMR Facility at McMaster University for attempting to obtain an NMR for my sample.

### STATEMENT OF RESEARCH CONTRIBUTION

An endophytic *Penicillium expansum* was previously isolated from the brown alga *Desmarestia viridis*. A methanolic extract of the endophyte was assessed against a suite of organisms and inhibited the growth of *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Mycobacterium smegmatis*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* and was analyzed using high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy. The high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy. The high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy data provided a mass-to-charge ratio that indicated the bioactive natural product A-26771B could be in the extract. My contribution began with a fermentation (10 L) of the *Penicillium expansum* isolate to generate an extract. In an attempt to isolate A-26771B, the fractionation of the extract was guided by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. After multiple fractionation steps, sub-milligram quantities of the molecule were isolated.

# **Table of Contents**

ABSTRACTii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS iii
STATEMENT OF RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONiv
Table of Contentsv
List of Tablesvi
List of Figuresvii
List of Symbols or Abbreviationsxi
1. Introduction
2. Results and Discussion
3. Experimental
3.1 General experimental procedures
3.2 Liquid culture fermentation and extraction14
3. 3 Fractionation of extract
References
Appendix

# List of Tables

Table 1: A comparison of the experimental <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectral data (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) with	
spectral data reported for the molecule A-26771B.83	8

# List of Figures

Figure 7: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of A-26771B reported in literature <sup>84</sup> (top) compared to <sup>1</sup> H
NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fractions
identified to be A-26771B9
Figure 8: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of A-26771B reported in literature <sup>84</sup> (top) compared to <sup>1</sup> H
NMR spectrum (600 MHz, CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction
identified to be A-26771B after the molecule degraded (bottom)11
Figure A1: Schematic representation of the fractionation protocol followed for the extract
generated from an endophytic <i>Penicillium expansum</i> isolate
Figure A2: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 100 % H <sub>2</sub> O and referred to as fraction 1
Figure A3: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 90 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 10 % ACN and referred to as fraction 226
Figure A4: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 80 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 20 % ACN and referred to as fraction 327
Figure A5: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 70 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 30 % ACN and referred to as fraction 427
Figure A6: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 60 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 40 % ACN and referred to as fraction 528
Figure A7: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 50 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 50 % ACN and referred to as fraction 628
Figure A8: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction
eluting at 10 % H <sub>2</sub> O; 90 % ACN and referred to as fraction 1029

Figure A9: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fract	ion
eluting at 100 % ACN and referred to as fraction 11	29
Figure A10: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the reverse-phase flash column frac	ction
eluting at 100 % EtOAc and referred to as fraction 12.	30
Figure A11: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7a	30
Figure A12: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7b.	31
Figure A13: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7d.	31
Figure A14: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7e	32
Figure A15: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7c2	32
Figure A16: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7c3	33
Figure A17: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 7c4	33
Figure A18: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8a	34
Figure A19: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8b.	34

Figure A20: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8d	35
Figure A21: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8e	35
Figure A22: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8f	
Figure A23: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8g.	
Figure A24: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8c2	37
Figure A25: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8c3	37
Figure A26: <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD <sub>3</sub> OD) of the high-performance liquid	
chromatography fraction referred to as 8c4	

# List of Symbols or Abbreviations

<sup>13</sup> C NMR	Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy		
<sup>1</sup> H NMR	Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy		
CDCl <sub>3</sub>	Deuterated chloroform		
CD <sub>3</sub> OD	Deuterated methanol		
ACN	Acetonitrile		
δ	Chemical shift in ppm		
d	Doublet		
dd	Doublet of doublets		
EtOAc	Ethyl acetate		
Н	Hydrogen		
$H_2O$	Water		
HPLC	High-performance liquid chromatography		
HRESIMS	High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy		
Hz	Hertz		
J	Coupling constant		
m	Multiplet		
MeOH	Methanol		
MHz	Megahertz		
m/z	Mass-to-charge ratio		
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy		
ppm	Parts per million		
RPFC	Revere-phase flash column		
rpm	Revolutions per minute		
S	Singlet		
S/N	Signal-to-noise ratio		
TLC	Thin layer chromatography		
t	Triplet		

### **1. Introduction**

Natural products are organic compounds that are not essential for the growth and development of the producing organism.<sup>1-4</sup> Investigation of natural products began more than 200 years ago and over 300,000 natural products have been isolated.<sup>5,6</sup> As more natural products are isolated it becomes increasingly evident that they represent an important source of biologically active molecules.<sup>1,3,7–11</sup> Natural products, as an important source of biologically relevant molecules, have influenced the development of many fields, perhaps most significantly in the development of chemical therapeutics.<sup>7–12</sup> To date, most of the natural products isolated have been sourced from plants, however, in an attempt to obtain more bioactive natural products, isolation efforts have shifted to include more sources of these molecules.<sup>13–62</sup> One source of particular interest is microbes associated with other organisms.<sup>52–62</sup>

Endophytic fungi, or endophytes, represent an important source of bioactive natural products.<sup>52–54,57,63</sup> Endophytes live within the tissues of plants without causing apparent harm to the host.<sup>4,53,56,63–65</sup> Previous studies have indicated that endophytes may be ubiquitous in plants<sup>57</sup> and that the population of endophytes within a plant may vary with the tissue type,<sup>66–70</sup> as well as the geographic and temporal distributions of the plant.<sup>68,71–75</sup> This provides the immense biodiversity of endophytes which allows access to a plethora of chemical entities available for investigation.

Much of the research on endophytic fungi has focused on terrestrial plants, however, endophytes derived from marine macroalgae are a source of bioactive natural products.<sup>13,52–54</sup>

1

The possibility that fungi could inhabit marine macroalgae was not considered until the late nineteenth century.<sup>76</sup> Since then, few studies have isolated natural products from endophytes derived from marine macroalgae. Using the database Scopus<sup>®</sup> and searching "marine" AND "alga\*" AND "endophyt\*" resulted in the search of the terms marine, algae, alga, endophyte(s), and endophytic which yielded 168 articles, whereas, searching for "endophyt\*" resulted in the search of the terms endophyte(s) and endophytic which yielded 16,538 articles.<sup>77</sup> These values indicate that only about one percent of articles on endophytes have focused on endophytes derived from marine algae.<sup>77</sup> Despite being relatively unexplored, the few macroalgal-derived endophytes that have been investigated have shown themselves to be an important source of biologically active molecules, <sup>13,52–54,62,78</sup> therefore, further investigation into endophytes derived from marine macroalga is warranted.

The endophyte used for this thesis was isolated from the brown alga, *Desmarestia viridis* and was identified to be a *Penicillium expansum* isolate.<sup>54</sup> A methanolic extract of the *Penicillium expansum* isolate was tested against a suite of organisms (*Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Mycobacterium smegmatis*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Candida albicans*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) and displayed broad-spectrum bioactivity against *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *M. smegmatis*, *M. tuberculosis*, and *S. aureus* (Figure 1).<sup>54</sup> High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (HRESIMS) data was generated for a methanolic extract of the endophyte and was used to tentatively detect the macrocyclic lactone A-26771B (Figure 2).



**Test organism** 

Figure 1: Normalized bioactivity for an extract generated from the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate from the marine alga *Desmarestia viridis* against a suite of organisms (*Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Mycobacterium smegmatis*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Candida albicans*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*).<sup>54</sup>



Figure 2: The structure of the macrocyclic natural product A-26771B.<sup>79,80</sup>

The natural product A-26771B was first isolated in 1975 from a *Penicillium turbatum* isolate and since has been isolated from a co-culture of *Penicillium fuscum* and *Penicillium camemberti*.<sup>81–83</sup> The biological activity of the natural product A-26771B has been reported against a range of organisms, however, the activity of the molecule against mycobacteria was

never established.<sup>81,82</sup> The previously derived methanolic extract from the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate, that was tentatively identified to contain the macrocyclic lactone A-26771B, inhibited the growth of *M. smegmatis* and *M. tuberculosis*. The work described in this thesis aimed to determine whether the presence of the molecule A-26771B was responsible for the biological activity against mycobacteria displayed by the methanolic extract.

The objective of this research was to confirm that an extract of the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate, derived from the marine alga *Desmarestia viridis*, contained the macrocyclic lactone A-26771B.

### 2. Results and Discussion

The endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate was fermented and extracted to generate an extract that was analyzed by proton nuclear magnetic resonance (<sup>1</sup>H NMR). When the <sup>1</sup>H NMR for the extract was compared to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR reported for the molecule A-26771B,<sup>84</sup> resonances indicative of A-26771B were partially obscured which indicated the complexity of the extract (Figure 3). Purification of the extract involved loading the extract onto a C-18 silica column and eluted with water (H<sub>2</sub>O), methanol (MeOH), and ethyl acetate (EtOAc). The MeOH fraction was selected for further investigation since the high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectroscopy (HRESIMS) data for the previous methanolic extract derived from the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate was tentatively detected to contain A-26771B. The MeOH fraction was further separated using a reverse-phase flash column (RPFC) that afforded twelve fractions (a schematic representation of the fractionation protocol is given in Figure A1). The twelve RPFC fractions were analyzed using <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy to identify which fractions contained

the macrocyclic lactone A-26771B. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the RPFC fractions seven, eight, and nine contained resonances indicative of A-26771B, the most noticeable being the two doublets (d) at 7.31 ppm and 6.71 ppm (Figure 4), and were subjected to reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; 35 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 65 % ACN). The quantity of material in the RPFC fraction nine was exhausted during the process of reverse-phase HPLC. One fraction that was collected from each of the RPFC fractions 7 and 8, with the same retention time on the reverse-phase HPLC column, was identified to contain A-26771B by analyzing the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, and was referred to as 7c and 8c in the schematic representation of the fractionation protocol (Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure A1). HPLC was successful in further purifying A-26771B, however, the samples still contained impurities. This was evident in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR by the two doublets at 6.78 ppm and 6.14 ppm.



Figure 3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of A-26771B reported in literature<sup>84</sup> (top) compared to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the extract prior to fractionation (bottom).



Figure 4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) for the reverse-phase flash column fractions seven (top), eight, and nine (eluting at 40 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 60 % ACN, 30 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 70 % ACN, and 20 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 80 % ACN respectively) compared to the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of A-26771B obtained from the second round of high-performance liquid chromatography (bottom).



Figure 5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction seven (eluting at 40 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 60 % ACN; top), the corresponding reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7c (middle), and the molecule A-26771B obtained from the second round of high-performance liquid chromatography referred to as 7c1(bottom).



Figure 6: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eight (eluting at 30 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 70 % ACN; top), the corresponding reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8c (middle), and the molecule A-26771B obtained from the second round of high-performance liquid chromatography referred to as 8c1(bottom).

Since impurities were present in the reverse-phase HPLC fractions containing A-26771B, the compatibility of the fractions with normal-phase HPLC was assessed using thin layer chromatography (TLC), however, no suitable solvent conditions were found. Since normal-phase HPLC conditions were not obtained, reverse-phase HPLC conditions were revisited. The reverse-phase HPLC fractions identified to contain A-26771B were further separated using the revised reverse-phase HPLC conditions (33 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 67 % ACN). One fraction was collected from each impure fraction suspected to contain A-26771B, with the same retention time on the reverse-phase HPLC column, and was referred to as 7c1 and 8c1 in the schematic representation of the fractionation protocol (Figure A1). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra for the two reverse-phase HPLC fractions matched the reported chemical shifts,<sup>83,84</sup> thus identifying them to be A-26771B (0.8 mg and 0.2 mg respectively; Table 1 and Figure 7).

	Experimental data in CD <sub>3</sub> OD	Reported data in CD <sub>3</sub> OD
No.	$\delta_{\rm H}$ ppm, int., multi., ( <i>J</i> in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm H}$ ppm, int., multi., ( <i>J</i> in Hz)
2	6.71, 1H, d, (15.9)	6.7, 1H, d, (15.9)
3	7.31, 1H, d, (15.9)	7.3, 1H, d, (15.9)
5	5.35, 1H, t, (5.4)	5.37, 1H, dd, (5.9, 4.9)
6	1.93, 2H, m	1.95, 1H, m
		1.87, 1H, m
7	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
8	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
9	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
10	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
11	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
12	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
13	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.34, 2H, m
14	1.13-1.71, 2H, m	1.72, 1H, m
		1.58, 1H, m
15	5.12, 1H, m	5.13, 1H, m
16	1.30, 3H, d (6.4)	1.30, 3H, d, (6.4)
2'	2.69, 2H, m	2.70, 2H, m
3'	2.58, 2H, m	2.62, 2H, m

Table 1: A comparison of the experimental <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) with spectral data reported for the molecule A-26771B.<sup>83</sup>



Figure 7: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of A-26771B reported in literature<sup>84</sup> (top) compared to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fractions identified to be A-26771B.

Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy ( $^{13}$ C NMR) data would confirm the isolation of A-26771B, however, with the limited quantity of material in the HPLC fractions identified to be A-26771B, the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) was too low to obtain interpretable data using the available 400 MHz spectrometer. To increase the S/N, one can increase the sample concentration, increase the magnetic field strength, or decrease the temperature of the probe.<sup>85</sup> The initial attempt to obtain <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data involved the fermentation (2 × 10 L) of the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate to generate more extract, however, due to time

constraints the natural product A-26771B was not isolated from the extracts as the fractionation protocol was not completed. Using the sub-milligram quantities of A-26771B, all three solutions to increase the S/N were attempted. One of the reverse-phase HPLC fractions identified to be A-26771B, was sent to the NMR Facility at McMaster University for data acquisition using a Bruker Avance Neo 600 NMR equipped with a prodigy cryoprobe. The use of the 600 MHz NMR instead of a 400 MHz NMR increased the S/N by a factor of two<sup>85</sup> and the use of a prodigy cryoprobe further increased the S/N by a factor of four,<sup>86</sup> thus, the instruments allowed for an eight-fold increase in the S/N. At the NMR Facility, located at McMaster University, the sample was run in a 3 mm NMR tube instead of a 5 mm NMR tube which increased the concentration of the sample and further increased the S/N. Unfortunately, prior to the acquisition of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum for A-26771B, the compound degraded. This became evident upon receiving the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum from the NMR facility at McMaster University. When comparing the experimental <sup>1</sup>H NMR to the spectrum reported for the molecule A-26771B,<sup>84</sup> several resonances characteristic of A-26771B were absent, including the two doublets at 7.31 ppm and 6.71 ppm (Figure 8).



Figure 8: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of A-2677<sup>10</sup>B reported in literature<sup>84</sup> (top) compared to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction identified to be A-26771B after the molecule degraded (bottom).

Several factors could have contributed to the degradation of A-26771B. Natural products are prone to degradation during storage leading to the loss of the desired product.<sup>87</sup> The longer the natural product is stored the likelihood of the molecule degrading increases.<sup>87</sup> Thus, time could have played a role in the degradation of A-26771B. Deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) was used to dissolve the sample at McMaster University which could have also contributed to the degradation of A-26771B, as chloroform is decomposed by the water in the air to form hydrochloric acid.<sup>88</sup> The acid could have reacted with the natural product to degrade the desired product.

The objective of this research was to confirm that an extract of the endophytic Penicillium expansum isolate, derived from the marine alga Desmarestia viridis, contained the macrocyclic lactone A-26771B. During this project, the natural product A-26771B was successfully isolated from the extract after several fractionation steps, however, the molecule degraded before the structure could be confirmed and before the bioactivity of the molecule could be assessed against Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Mycobacterium smegmatis. In the future, in order to confirm the planar structure, determine the stereochemistry, and determine the bioactivity of the molecule against M. tuberculosis and M. smegmatis, a larger quantity of A-26771B would be required. Revisions to the fractionation protocol would be recommended to increase the efficiency of isolating A-26771B since the molecule A-26771B is produced in submilligram quantities by the endophyte. Modifications of the current HPLC conditions could allow for better separation of the constituents, thus negating the need for multiple rounds of HPLC. For example, hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography has been shown to increase the separation efficiency for some samples compared to reverse-phase and normal-phase HPLC.<sup>89</sup> Another technique that could greatly benefit the fractionation protocol would be to introduce size-exclusion chromatography, which would add a new dimension to the fractionation protocol by allowing the extract to be separated by atomic mass as well as polarity.<sup>90</sup>

### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1 General experimental procedures

Fermentations of the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate were conducted using Bacto<sup>TM</sup> malt extract broth (Becton Dickinson, Mississauga, Ontario). Solvents for extraction and isolation were ACS certified or high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade and were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Ottawa, Ontario). Deuterated solvents for nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, Ontario). Normal-phase thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on SiliaPlate aluminum backed TLC plates (200 µm thickness, Silicycle, Quebec City, Quebec). TLC plates were visualized by charring with a potassium permanganate stain (1.0 g potassium)permanganate, 2.0 g sodium carbonate and 100 ml of water). Solid-phase extraction was performed using Sep-Pak® C18 Cartridges (55-105 μm, 125 Å, 2 g; Waters, NA, USA). Reverse-phase flash chromatography (RPFC) was performed using a Biotage Flash+ chromatography system fitted with C18 Silicycle cartridges (40-63 µm, 60 Å, 25 g; Silicycle, Quebec). Reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was carried out using a Waters 600 pump, a Phenomenex C18 Luna silica column (10  $\mu$ m, 100 Å, 250  $\times$  10 mm) and a Waters 2485 dual wavelength detector (190 nm & 254 nm) at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated methanol (CD<sub>3</sub>OD) on an Agilent 400-MR DD2 NMR spectrometer at 400 MHz and in deuterated chloroform (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) on a Bruker Avance Neo 600 NMR spectrometer at 600 MHz equipped with a prodigy cryoprobe. Samples were prepared in a 5 mm NMR tube for the 400 MHz NMR and in a 3mm NMR tube for the 600 MHz NMR. The

spectra were processed using MNova® 6 software (Mestrelab Research® S.L.) and calibrated to residual protonated solvent resonances (3.310 ppm CD<sub>3</sub>OD for and 7.260 ppm for CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

#### **3.2 Liquid culture fermentation and extraction**

Portions of the endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate (5 mm × 5 mm) were transferred into 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 2.0% Bacto<sup>TM</sup> malt extract broth (5 L; 50 × 100 ml batches), stoppered with foam baffles, and shaken (150 rpm) at 21 °C ( $\pm$  2 °C), in the dark, for two weeks. Following fermentation, the cultures were sonicated for 30 seconds to lyse the cells and the resulting cell debris were removed by filtration through cotton wool. The filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 1.6 L EtOAc per 5 L of broth) and concentrated in vacuo to give an extract. The extract from two fermentations were combined to give the extract (326 mg). The extract was stored at -20 °C.

#### **3.3 Fractionation of extract**

An extract (326 mg) obtained from combining two separate fermentations (5L) was loaded onto C-18 silica (32 g) and eluted first with water (H<sub>2</sub>O) (224 mL) then methanol (MeOH; 224 mL) then ethyl acetate (EtOAc; 224 mL). The MeOH fraction was separated by reverse-phase flash column chromatography (RPFC) employing a stepwise gradient of water to acetonitrile (ACN; 10% increments, 150 mL per elution) followed by a column wash of EtOAc (150 mL) that resulted in twelve fractions. Fractions seven (40 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 60 % ACN), eight (30 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 70 % ACN), and nine (20 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 80 % ACN) contained <sup>1</sup>H NMR resonances indicative of A-26771B. Fractions seven (21 mg) and eight (13 mg) were individually separated by two rounds of isocratic reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; 35 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 65 % ACN then 33 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 67 % ACN) resulting in the isolation of sub-milligram quantities of A-26771B (0.8 mg and 0.2 mg respectively). Fraction 9 was identified to contain A-26771B, however, material was exhausted after the first round of HPLC.

### References

Croteau, R.; Kutchan, T. M.; Lewis, N. G. Natural Products (Secondary Metabolites).
 *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Pla.* 2000, *24*, 1250–1319.

(2) Dixon, R. A. Natural Products and Plant Disease Resistance. *Nature* 2001, *411* (6839), 843–847.

(3) Katz, L.; Baltz, R. H. Natural Product Discovery: Past, Present, and Future. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2016, *43* (2), 155–176.

(4) Pye, C. R.; Bertin, M. J.; Lokey, R. S.; Gerwick, W. H.; Linington, R. G. Retrospective Analysis of Natural Products Provides Insights for Future Discovery Trends. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2017, *114* (22), 5601–5606.

(5) Chassagne, F.; Cabanac, G.; Hubert, G.; David, B.; Marti, G. The Landscape of Natural Product Diversity and Their Pharmacological Relevance from a Focus on the Dictionary of Natural Products<sup>®</sup>. *Phytochem. Rev.* 2019, *18* (3), 601–622.

(6) Li, J. W.-H.; Vederas, J. C. Drug Discovery and Natural Products: End of an Era or an Endless Frontier? *Science*. 2009, *325* (5937), 161–165.

(7) Newman, D. J.; Cragg, G. M. Natural Products as Sources of New Drugs from 1981 to
2014. J. Nat. Prod. 2016, 79 (3), 629–661.

Harvey, A. L.; Edrada-Ebel, R.; Quinn, R. J. The Re-Emergence of Natural Products for
 Drug Discovery in the Genomics Era. *Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov.* 2015, *14* (2), 111–129.

(9) Brown, D. G.; Lister, T.; May-Dracka, T. L. New Natural Products as New Leads for Antibacterial Drug Discovery. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2014, *24* (2), 413–418.

(10) Zhang, L.; Demain, A. L. *Natural Products Drug Discovery and Therapeutic Medicine*;Humana Press: Totowa, N.J., 2005.

(11) Butler, M. S.; Buss, A. D. Natural Products — The Future Scaffolds for Novel Antibiotics? *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2006, *71* (7), 919–929.

(12) Mendelsohn, R.; Balick, M. J. The Value of Undiscovered Pharmaceuticals in TropicalForests. *Econ. Bot.* 1995, *49* (2), 223–228.

(13) Sun, W.; Wu, W.; Liu, X.; Zaleta-Pinet, D. A.; Clark, B. R. Bioactive Compounds
 Isolated from Marine-Derived Microbes in China: 2009–2018. *Mar. Drugs* 2019, *17* (6), 339.

Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine NaturalProducts. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2003, *20* (1), 1–48.

(15) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2004, *21* (1), 1–49.

(16) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. MarineNatural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2005, *22* (1), 15–61.

(17) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2006, *23* (1), 26–78.

(18) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Hu, W.-P.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R.Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2007, *24* (1), 31–86.

(19) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Hu, W.-P.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R.Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2008, *25* (1), 35–94.

Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Hu, W.-P.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R.Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2009, *26* (2), 170–244.

(21) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2010, *27* (2), 165–237.

(22) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Munro, M. H. G.; Northcote, P. T.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2011, *28* (2), 196–268.

(23) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2012, *29* (2), 144–222.

(24) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2013, *30* (2), 237–323.

(25) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2014, *31* (2), 160–258.

Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. MarineNatural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2015, *32* (2), 116–211.

(27) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2016, *33* (3), 382–431.

(28) Blunt, J. W.; Copp, B. R.; Keyzers, R. A.; Munro, M. H. G.; Prinsep, M. R. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2017, *34* (3), 235–294.

Blunt, J. W.; Carroll, A. R.; Copp, B. R.; Davis, R. A.; Keyzers, R. A.; Prinsep, M. R.Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2018, *35* (1), 8–53.

(30) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1986, 3, 1–33.

(31) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1987, 4, 539–576.

(32) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1988, 5 (6), 613–663.

(33) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1990, 7 (4), 269–309.

- (34) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1991, 8 (2), 97–147.
- (35) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1992, 9 (4), 323–364.
- (36) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1993, *10* (5), 497–539.
- (37) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1994, 11, 355–394.
- (38) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1995, 12 (3), 223–269.
- (39) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1996, 13 (2), 75–125.
- (40) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1997, *14* (3), 259–302.
- (41) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1998, *15* (2), 113–158.
- (42) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1999, 16 (2), 155–198.
- (43) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2000, 17 (1), 7–55.
- (44) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2001, 18 (1), 1–49.
- (45) Faulkner, D. J. Marine Natural Products. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2002, 19 (1), 1–48.
- (46) Leal, M. C.; Puga, J.; Serôdio, J.; Gomes, N. C. M.; Calado, R. Trends in the Discovery of New Marine Natural Products from Invertebrates over the Last Two Decades Where and What Are We Bioprospecting? *PLoS. One.* 2012, *7* (1).

(47) Dolmatova, L. S.; Dolmatov, I. Y. Different Macrophage Type Triggering as Target of the Action of Biologically Active Substances from Marine Invertebrates. *Mar. Drugs* 2020, *18* (1), 37.

(48) Kellner Filho, L. C.; Picão, B. W.; Silva, M. L. A.; Cunha, W. R.; Pauletti, P. M.; Dias,
G. M.; Copp, B. R.; Bertanha, C. S.; Januario, A. H. Bioactive Aliphatic Sulfates from Marine Invertebrates. *Mar. Drugs* 2019, *17* (9). (49) Nyan, L. K.; Abas, F.; Maulidiani; Ahmed, M.; Wei, L. S.; Ismail, I. S.; Shaari, K.

Chemical Constituents and Biological Activities of South East Asia Marine Sponges: A Review. *Pertanika J. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *27* (2), 953–983.

(50) Seabrooks, L.; Hu, L. Insects: An Underrepresented Resource for the Discovery of Biologically Active Natural Products. *Acta. Pharm. Sin. B.* 2017, *7* (4), 409–426.

(51) Dossey, A. T. Insects and Their Chemical Weaponry: New Potential for Drug Discovery. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2010, *27* (12), 1737–1757.

(52) Flewelling, A. J.; Ellsworth, K. T.; Sanford, J.; Forward, E.; Johnson, J. A.; Gray, C. A.
Macroalgal Endophytes from the Atlantic Coast of Canada: A Potential Source of Antibiotic
Natural Products? *Microorganisms* 2013, *1* (1), 175–187.

(53) Flewelling, A. J.; Currie, J.; Gray, C. A.; Johnson, J. A. Endophytes from MarineMacroalgae: Promising Sources of Novel Natural Products. *Curr. Sci.* 2015, *109* (1), 88–111.

(54) Flewelling, A. Bioactive Natural Products from Marine Macroalgal Endophytes from the Bay of Fundy, Canada, University of New Brunswick., 2017.

(55) Zhang, P.; Li, X.; Wang, B.-G. Secondary Metabolites from the Marine Algal-Derived
Endophytic Fungi: Chemical Diversity and Biological Activity. *Planta Med.* 2016, *82* (09/10),
832–842.

(56) Mostert, L.; Crous, P. W.; Petrini, O. Endophytic Fungi Associated with Shoots and Leaves of *Vitis vinifera*, with Specific Reference to the *Phomopsis viticola* Complex. *Sydowia*.
2000, *52* (1), 46–58.

(57) Tan, R. X.; Zou, W. X. Endophytes: A Rich Source of Functional Metabolites. *Nat. Prod.Rep.* 2001, *18* (4), 448–459.

(58) Cheng, M.-M.; Tang, X.-L.; Sun, Y.-T.; Song, D.-Y.; Cheng, Y.-J.; Liu, H.; Li, P.-L.; Li,
G.-Q. Biological and Chemical Diversity of Marine Sponge-Derived Microorganisms over the
Last Two Decades from 1998 to 2017. *Molecules*. 2020, *25* (4), 853.

(59) Chevrette, M. G.; Carlson, C. M.; Ortega, H. E.; Thomas, C.; Ananiev, G. E.; Barns, K.

J.; Book, A. J.; Cagnazzo, J.; Carlos, C.; Flanigan, W.; Grubbs, K. J.; Horn, H. A.; Hoffmann, F.

M.; Klassen, J. L.; Knack, J. J.; Lewin, G. R.; McDonald, B. R.; Muller, L.; Melo, W. G. P.;

Pinto-Tomás, A. A.; Schmitz, A.; Wendt-Pienkowski, E.; Wildman, S.; Zhao, M.; Zhang, F.;

Bugni, T. S.; Andes, D. R.; Pupo, M. T.; Currie, C. R. The Antimicrobial Potential of Streptomyces from Insect Microbiomes. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10* (1).

(60) Beemelmanns, C.; Guo, H.; Rischer, M.; Poulsen, M. Natural Products from Microbes Associated with Insects. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2016, *12*, 314–327.

(61) Higginbotham, S.; Wong, W. R.; Linington, R. G.; Spadafora, C.; Iturrado, L.; Arnold, A.
E. Sloth Hair as a Novel Source of Fungi with Potent Anti-Parasitic, Anti-Cancer and Anti-Bacterial Bioactivity. *PLoS. ONE*. 2014, *9* (1), e84549.

(62) Jones, E. B. G.; Stanley, S. J.; Pinruan, U. Marine Endophyte Sources of New Chemical Natural Products: A Review. *Bot. Mar.* 2008, *51* (3), 163–170.

(63) Schulz, B.; Boyle, C. The Endophytic Continuum. *Mycol. Res.* 2005, *109* (Pt 6), 661–686.

(64) Guo, B.; Wang, Y.; Sun, X.; Tang, K. Bioactive Natural Products from Endophytes: A Review. *Appl. Biochem. Microbiol.* 2008, *44* (2), 136–142.

(65) Rodriguez, R. J.; Jr, J. F. W.; Arnold, A. E.; Redman, R. S. Fungal Endophytes: Diversity and Functional Roles. *New Phytol.* 2009, *182* (2), 314–330.

(66) Kumar, D. S. S.; Hyde, K. D. Biodiversity and Tissue-Recurrence of Endophytic Fungi in *Tripterygium wilfordii. Fungal Divers.* 2004.

(67) Gazis, R.; Chaverri, P. Diversity of Fungal Endophytes in Leaves and Stems of Wild Rubber Trees (*Hevea brasiliensis*) in Peru. *Fungal Ecol.* 2010, *3* (3), 240–254.

(68) Fang, K.; Miao, Y.-F.; Chen, L.; Zhou, J.; Yang, Z.-P.; Dong, X.-F.; Zhang, H.-B.
Tissue-Specific and Geographical Variation in Endophytic Fungi of *Ageratina adenophora* and
Fungal Associations With the Environment. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, *10*.

(69) Wearn, J. A.; Sutton, B. C.; Morley, N. J.; Gange, A. C. Species and Organ Specificity of Fungal Endophytes in Herbaceous Grassland Plants. *J. Ecol.* 2012, *100* (5), 1085–1092.

(70) Geisen, S.; Kostenko, O.; Cnossen, M. C.; ten Hooven, F. C.; Vreš, B.; van der Putten,W. H. Seed and Root Endophytic Fungi in a Range Expanding and a Related Plant Species.

Front. Microbiol. 2017, 8.

(71) Hampel, L. D.; Cheeptham, N.; Flood, N. J.; Ross Friedman, C. Plants, Fungi, and
Freeloaders: Examining Temporal Changes in the "Taxonomic Richness" of Endophytic Fungi
in the Dwarf Mistletoe *Arceuthobium americanum* over Its Growing Season. *Botany*. 2016, 95
(3), 323–335.

Materatski, P.; Varanda, C.; Carvalho, T.; Dias, A. B.; Campos, M. D.; Rei, F.; do
Rosário Félix, M. Spatial and Temporal Variation of Fungal Endophytic Richness and Diversity
Associated to the Phyllosphere of Olive Cultivars. *Fungal Biol.* 2019, *123* (1), 66–76.

(73) Zainee, N. F. A.; Ismail, A.; Ibrahim, N.; Ismail, A. Seaweed Temporal Distribution in Southeast Coast of Peninsular Malaysia and Isolation of Endophytic Fungi. *AIP Conf. Proc.*2018, *1940* (1), 020069.

(74) Collado, J.; Platas, G.; González, I.; Peláez, F. Geographical and Seasonal Influences on the Distribution of Fungal Endophytes in *Quercus Ilex. New Phytol.* 1999, *144* (3), 525–532.

(75) Göre, M. E.; Bucak, C. Geographical and Seasonal Influences on the Distribution of Fungal Endophytes in *Laurus nobilis*. *For. Pathol.* 2007, *37* (4), 281–288.

(76) Church, A. H. A Marine Fungus. Ann. Bot. 1893, os-7 (3), 399-400.

(77) Elsevier Science Publishers. Scopus. http://www.scopus.com (accessed Mar 1, 2020).

(78) Kamat, S.; Kumari, M.; Taritla, S.; Jayabaskaran, C. Endophytic Fungi of Marine AlgaFrom Konkan Coast, India—A Rich Source of Bioactive Material. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 2020, 7.

(79) Arai, K.; Rawlings, B. J.; Yoshizawa, Y.; Vederas, J. C. Biosyntheses of Antibiotic
A26771B by *Penicillium turbatum* and Dehydrocurvularin by *Alternaria cinerariae*: Comparison of Stereochemistry of Polyketide and Fatty Acid Enoyl Thiol Ester Reductases. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, *111* (9), 3391–3399.

(80) Chatterjee, S.; Subramanian, M.; Sharma, A.; Chattopadhyay, S. A Concise Asymmetric Synthesis of the Macrolide Antibiotic (-)-A26771B and Evaluation of Its Antibacterial Activity and Some of Its Precursors. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2018, *13* (11), 1535–1538.

(81) Michel, K. H.; Demarco, P. V.; Nagarajan, R. The Isolation And Structure Elucidation Of Macrocyclic Lactone Antibiotic, A26771B. *J. Antibiot. Res.* 1977, *30* (7), 571–575.

(82) Michel, K. H.; Hoehn, M. M. Antibiotic A-26771 Factor. US3954972A, May 4, 1976.

(83) Stierle, A. A.; Stierle, D. B.; Decato, D.; Priestley, N. D.; Alverson, J. B.; Hoody, J.;

McGrath, K.; Klepacki, D. The Berkeleylactones, Antibiotic Macrolides from Fungal Coculture. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2017, *80* (4), 1150–1160. (84) Gebauer, J.; Blechert, S. Synthesis of γ,δ-Unsaturated-β-Keto Lactones via Sequential Cross Metathesis-Lactonization: A Facile Entry to Macrolide Antibiotic (-)-A26771B. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, *71* (5), 2021–2025.

(85) Lee, J. H.; Okuno, Y.; Cavagnero, S. Sensitivity Enhancement in Solution NMR:Emerging Ideas and New Frontiers. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2014, *241*, 18–31.

(86) Fujiwara, T.; Ramamoorthy, A. How Far Can the Sensitivity of NMR Be Increased? In *Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy*; Webb, G. A., Ed.; Academic Press, 2006; Vol. 58, pp 155–175.

(87) Thakur, L.; Ghodasra, U.; Patel, N.; Dabhi, M. Novel Approaches for Stability Improvement in Natural Medicines. *Pharmacogn. Rev.* 2011, 5 (9), 48–54.

(88) Clover, A. M. The Auto-Oxidation of Chloroform. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1923, 45 (12), 3133–3138.

(89) Buszewski, B.; Noga, S. Hydrophilic Interaction Liquid Chromatography (HILIC)—a Powerful Separation Technique. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2012, *402* (1), 231–247.

(90) Mori, S.; Barth, H. G. *Size Exclusion Chromatography*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

# Appendix



Figure A1: Schematic representation of the fractionation protocol followed for the extract generated from an endophytic *Penicillium expansum* isolate.



Figure A2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz,  $CD_3OD$ ) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 100 % H<sub>2</sub>O and referred to as fraction 1.



Figure A3: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 90 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 10 % ACN and referred to as fraction 2.



Figure A4: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 80 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 20 % ACN and referred to as fraction 3.



Figure A5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 70 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 30 % ACN and referred to as fraction 4.



Figure A6: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 60 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 40 % ACN and referred to as fraction 5.



Figure A7: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz,  $CD_3OD$ ) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 50 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 50 % ACN and referred to as fraction 6.



Figure A8: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 10 % H<sub>2</sub>O; 90 % ACN and referred to as fraction 10.



Figure A9: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the reverse-phase flash column fraction eluting at 100 % ACN and referred to as fraction 11.





Figure A11: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7a.



Figure A12: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7b.



Figure A13: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7d.



Figure A14: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7e.



Figure A15: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7c2.

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A16: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 7c3.

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

chromatography fraction referred to as 7c4.

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A18: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8a.

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure A19: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8b.

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A20: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8d.

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure A21: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8e.

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A22: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8f.

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

chromatography fraction referred to as 8g.

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A24: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8c2.

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure A25: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8c3.

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A26: <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of the high-performance liquid chromatography fraction referred to as 8c4.